



**Effects of growth rate, SEX  
and slaughter weight on  
carcass composition and meat  
quality in commercial pigs**

**Jorge Andrés Correa<sup>1,2</sup>, Joel Rivest<sup>3</sup>, C. Gariépy<sup>4</sup> and Luigi Faucitano<sup>5</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Département des sciences animales, Université Laval <sup>2</sup>F. Ménard Inc. <sup>3</sup>Centre de développement du porc du Québec <sup>4</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Food Research and Development Centre <sup>5</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Dairy and Swine Research and Development Centre

**Background**

Little is known about the combined or interactive effect of growth rate and final weight of pigs on pork carcass and meat quality characteristics. In-

creasing slaughter weight has the advantage of reducing overhead costs per unit weight of output for producer, slaughterer and processor, increasing carcass yields, improving meat to bone ratio and reducing chilling and processing losses. However, research showed that starting from 100 kg live weight each increment of 10 kg in body weight leads to a slightly lower average daily gain, a significant deterioration in feed efficiency, reduced lean deposition and poorer meat quality. To reach heavier weights without affecting carcass leanness the simplest approach is to restrict the amount of feed supplied to the animal, especially in the later stage of the finishing period when fat deposition rates increase dramatically. However, this practice may eventually lead to negative age-related effects, such as slower growth rate and reduced intramuscular fat content and, consequently, poorer quality of pork meat. The use of modern, high-lean growth potential genotypes may represent a valid solution as in theory they can be taken to heavier weights without compromising growth performances and carcass traits.

## Objectives

The aim of this project was to evaluate the effects of growth rate, sex and slaughter weight on carcass and meat quality traits in commercial pigs.

## Materials and methods

A total of three hundred and forty (340) Duroc x (Landrace x Yorkshire) crossbred piglets were allotted into 28 pens and raised until slaughter at the experimental farm of the Centre de développement du porc du Québec (CDPQ) in Deschambault (QC, Canada). The animals were equally distributed according to an experimental design including the following independent variables: 1) growth rate, which was set according to two different EBVs (estimated breeding values) for age at 100 kg (fast growth: around -10 days and slow growth: around + 2 days) of the sire-line, 2) the sex (barrows and gilts) and 3) the liveweight at slaughter (107, 115 and 125 kg). The selected sire-lines had similar EBVs for backfat thickness at 100 kg slaughter weight. At the end of the finishing period, a sub-population of 119 pigs (10 carcasses per treatment) was selected for the carcass and meat quality evaluation trials.

After slaughter, warm carcass weight (kg) and loin eye area (cm<sup>2</sup>) between the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> last ribs level were recorded. After conventional overnight chilling, one side of each carcass was dissected in order to estimate the effects of the treatments on the proportions of the lean, fat and bone tissue in the carcass. Full dissection did not include the front shank and the belly. Meat quality was assessed on the *longissimus* (L) muscle by measuring pH1 on the day of slaughter (45 min. post mortem) and ultimate pH (pHu), drip loss (%) and light reflectance (Minolta Chromameter CR 300) at 24 h post mortem. Furthermore, L muscle chops were ground and frozen pending the analysis of dry matter, collagen and collagen heat-solubility, protein and intramuscular fat (IMF) content (Soxtec extraction with ethanol and dichloromethane). All analyses were conducted as described by AOAC (1990). Data were analysed according to a 2 x 2 x 3 factorial design by using the GLM Procedure of the SAS System (SAS, 1999).

## Results and discussion

The selection by the EBV for growth rate of the sire-line successfully led to a difference in the age at slaughter, with pigs from the high growth rate line being a week younger than the slower growth rate ones. As liveweight at slaughter increased there were significant increases in hot carcass weight ( $p < 0.001$ ) and dressing percentage ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 1). However, in our study the increase of carcass weight did not result in a variation of lean and fat proportions (%). Globally, loin eye area (LEA) increased ( $p < 0.001$ ) with weight and gilts showed greater loin eye area than barrows. Loin eye area was dependant on a significant triple interaction of treatments. LEA increased with weight and this effect was more pronounced and larger in gilts. Main variation, however, arose from fast growing barrows which have readily completed their cross sectional muscle growth as they reached 115 kg. However, in opposite, a late but rapid development occurred from 115 to 125 in the Only protein, IMF and collagen contents were affected by the factors under study. Protein was affected by slaughter weight and sex. Indeed, a lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) muscle protein content was found at 107 kg compared to 115 and 125 kg in the L muscle of both growth rate pigs. The effect of weight on protein content is in disagreement with a number of studies which showed inconsistent changes in protein content with slaughter weight. Muscle protein content was higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) in gilts than in barrows. No change in IMF was observed with increasing slaughter weight. On the other hand, IMF content was higher in barrows ( $p < 0.01$ ) than in gilts. Slaughter weight did not influence dry matter and total collagen content. However, the content of heat-soluble collagen content decreased ( $p < 0.001$ ) with weight. This may mean that tenderness of cooked pork could be somewhat reduced in heavier animals, as already reported by Ellis et al. (1996) and Candek-Potokar et al. (1998).

## Conclusions

Generally, the results of this study suggest that pigs can be slaughtered at heavier weights without compromising carcass quality and meat quality. However, given the lower lean proportion found in the fast growing genotypes used in this study, the increase in slaughter weight should be combined with

appropriate genetics in order to avoid economical losses.

## References

- Albar, J., Latimier, P. and Granier, R.** 1990. Poids d'abattage : évolution des performances d'engraissement et de carcasse des porcs abattus au delà de 100 kg. *Journées de la Recherche Porcine en France* 22: 119-132.
- AOAC** 1990. Official methods of analysis. 15th ed. AOAC, Washington, DC.
- Barton-Gade, P.A.** 1987. Meat and fat quality in boars, castrates and gilts. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 16: 187-196.
- Beattie, V.E., Weatherup, R.N., Moss, B.W. and Walker, N.** 1999. The effect of increasing carcass weight of finishing boars and gilts on joint composition and meat quality. *Meat Sci.* 52: 205-211.
- Candek-Potokar, M., Lefaucœur, L., Zlender, B. and Bonneau, M.** 1998. Effect of slaughter and/or age on histological characteristics of pig longissimus dorsi muscle as related to meat quality. *Meat Sci.* 52: 195-203.
- Cisneros, F., Ellis, M., McKeith, F.K., McGraw, J. and Fernando, R.L.** 1996. Influence of slaughter weight on growth and carcass characteristics, commercial cutting and curing yields, and meat quality of barrows and gilts from two genotypes. *J. Anim. Sci.* 74: 925-933.
- Eggert, J.M., Sheiss, E.B., Schinckel, A.P., Forrest, J.C., Grant, A.L., Mills, S. E. and Watkins, B.A.** 1996. Effects of genotype, sex, slaughter weight, and dietary fat on pig growth, carcass composition, and pork quality. <http://www.ansc.purdue.edu/swine/swineday/sday96/psd12-96.htm>.
- Ellis, M. and Bertol, T.M.** 2001. Effects of slaughter weight on pork and fat quality. *Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> International Virtual Conference on Pork Quality*, pp. 213-224, November 5-December 6, Embrapa, Concordia, Brazil.
- Ellis, M., Webb A.J., Avery, P.J. and Brown, I.** 1996. The influence of terminal sire genotype, sex, slaughter weight, feeding regime and slaughter-house on growth performance and carcass and meat quality in pigs and on the organoleptic properties of fresh pork. *Animal Science*. 62: 521-530.
- García-Macías, J.A., Gispert, M., Oliver, M.A., Diestre, A., Alonso, P., Muñoz-Luna, A., Sigen, K. and Cuthbert-Heavens, D.** 1996. The effects of cross, slaughter weight and halothane genotype on leanness and meat and fat quality in pig carcasses. *Anim. Sci.* 63: 487-496.
- Latorre, M.A., Lázaro, R., Valencia, D.G., Medel, P. and Mateos, G.G.** 2004. The effects of sex and slaughter weight on the growth performance, carcass traits, and meat quality characteristics of heavy pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82: 526-533.
- McGloughlin, P., Allen, P., Tarrant, P.V., Joseph, R.L., Lynch, P.B. and Hanrahan, T.J.** 1988. Growth and carcass quality of crossbred pigs sired by Duroc, Landrace and Large White boars. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 18: 275-288.
- McGloughlin, P., Allen, P., Tarrant, P.V., Joseph, R.L., Lynch, P.B. and Hanrahan, T.J.** 1988. Growth and carcass quality of crossbred pigs sired by Duroc, Landrace and Large White boars. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 18: 275-288.
- Oeckel van, M. J. and Warnants, N.** 2003. Variation of the sensory quality within the m. *Longissimus thoracis et lumborum* of PSE and normal pork. *Meat Sci.* 63: 293-299.
- SAS** 1999. SAS version 8.0, SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.

## CANADIAN MEAT SCIENCE ASSOCIATION

Table 1. Least Squares Means for growth rate, sex and slaughter weight for carcass composition.

Slaughter weight (W) <sup>a</sup>	107 kg				115 kg				125 kg				Significance      Interaction			
	A		B		A		B		A		B					
	B	G	B	G	B	G	B	G	B	G	B	G	GR	S	W	GR*S*W
Carcass weight (kg)	85.6	85.6	86.3	84.7	92.0	92.2	92.2	91.7	100.2	99.1	101.4	99.2	NS	NS	***	NS
Dressing (%)	79.2	79.8	80.1	79.7	80.2	80.0	80.5	80.4	80.7	79.8	80.8	80.5	NS	NS	*	NS
Loin eye area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	42.4	45.3	43.2	45.7	46.4	48.1	43.8	49.0	45.4	54.4	48.9	50.1	NS	***	***	**
Total lean (%)	42.9	45.5	46.1	47.1	43.7	46.0	44.3	48.1	41.8	46.8	45.0	48.4	***	***	NS	NS
Total fat (%)	23.6	20.5	20.5	19.8	22.1	20.8	21.9	19.1	24.4	19.9	22.0	19.0	***	***	NS	NS
Total bone (%)	8.1	8.0	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.2	8.0	8.6	7.7	8.5	8.2	8.6	*	*	NS	*

<sup>a</sup> GR: Growth rate = A: fast, B: slow,<sup>b</sup> S: Sex = B: barrows, G: Gilts<sup>c</sup> W: Slaughter weight

NS: Not significant, \*: p &lt; 0.05, \*\*: p &lt; 0.01, \*\*\*: p &lt; 0.001.

Table 2. Least Squares Means for growth rate, sex and slaughter weight for meat quality characteristics

Slaughter weight (W) <sup>c</sup> Growth rate (GR) <sup>a</sup> Sex (S) <sup>b</sup>	107 kg				115 kg				125 kg				Significance		
	A		B		A		B		A		B				
	B	G	B	G	B	G	B	G	B	G	B	G	GR	S	W
pH 45min.	6.2	6.3	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.3	NS	NS	NS
pH 24 h.	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.6	NS	NS	NS
L*	51.5	50.8	49.9	51.1	50.9	50.3	50.2	50.0	50.3	51.0	50.5	50.0	NS	NS	NS
Drip loss (%)	5.1	4.5	4.9	5.4	5.6	5.8	4.9	5.8	4.9	5.1	4.8	5.5	NS	NS	NS
Dry matter (%)	26.1	26.2	26.1	26.0	26.0	25.7	26.2	26.2	26.4	26.1	26.4	25.9	NS	NS	NS
Protein (%)	23.3	23.7	23.2	23.5	23.8	23.6	23.6	23.9	23.4	23.8	23.9	23.9	NS	*	*
IMF (%)	2.2	2.0	2.2	1.8	1.7	1.5	2.0	1.8	2.3	1.7	2.2	1.5	NS	***	NS
Soluble collagen (%)	13.5	13.3	13.2	13.4	12.9	11.9	12.4	11.8	11.4	11.5	10.8	11.2	NS	NS	***
Total collagen (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	NS	NS	NS

<sup>a</sup> GR: Growth rate = A: fast, B: slow,<sup>b</sup> S: Sex = B: barrows, G: Gilts<sup>c</sup> W: Slaughter weight

NS: Not significant, \*: p &lt; 0.05, \*\*: p &lt; 0.01, \*\*\*: p &lt; 0.001.